

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/712,708	11/12/2003	Chin-ming Chen	JLINP174	9264
25920	7590 12/01/2005	EXAMINER		INER
MARTINE 710 LAKEW	PENILLA & GENCA	MCKINNON,	TERRELL L	
SUITE 200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUNNYVAL	E, CA 94085	•	3753	

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summers	10/712,708	CHEN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Terrell L. Mckinnon	3753			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 No	ovember 2003.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3,10,12 and 20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 2,4-9,11 and 14-19 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		·			
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 12 November 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the c Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/712,708

Art Unit: 3753

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 3, 10, 12, 13 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Doke et al. (U.S. 5,315,830) in view of Cheng (U.S. 6,457,955).

 Doke discloses a heat transfer assembly comprising:
 - a fan having a shaft with a first end and an opposite second end;
 - the first end of the shaft penetrating a hub of the fan and connecting to a heating element;
 - a heat sink connected to the second end of the shaft;
 - it obvious the shaft is made from a material such as metal having a high thermal conductivity;
 - the materials with high thermal conductivity is selected from the group of aluminum, copper, aluminum alloy, copper alloy and their compounds;
 - the base is formed with a plurality of bumps (fins) on its surface.

Doke's invention discloses all of the claimed limitations from above except for a stator assembly fixed on the shaft; a rotor pivotally joined to the shaft and kept a fixed distance from the stator assembly through magnetic interaction.

3. However, Cheng teaches the use of a stator assembly a rotor pivotally joined to the shaft and kept a fixed distance from the stator assembly through magnetic interaction.

Given the teachings of Cheng, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the fan assembly of Doke with a stator assembly fixed on the shaft; a rotor pivotally joined to the shaft and kept a fixed distance from the stator assembly through magnetic interaction.

Doing so would provide an alternate fan arrangement for efficiently cooling the heat generating devices.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 2, 4-9, 11 and 14-19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following references cited on the USPTO 892 discloses related limitations of the applicant's claimed and disclosed invention.

Art Unit: 3753

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Terrell L. Mckinnon whose telephone number is 571-272-4797. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Thursday and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Blau can be reached on 571-272-4406. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Terrell L Mckinnon Primary Examiner Art Unit 3753

November 28, 2005